

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/935,100	08/22/2001	David B. Weiner	UPN-4099	2243	
7590 11/06/2003		EXAMINER			
	COZEN O'CONNER			PARKIN, JEFFREY S	
1900 MARKET STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	•		DATE MAILED: 11/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/935,100	WEINER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.	1648			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication aportion or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address			
THE - External after of the control	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 /	August 2001.				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	s action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	tion of Claims					
5) 6) 7)	4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-33 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	tion Papers					
,	The specification is objected to by the Examin The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a cepted or b) objected to by the lead in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		7.03.017.01.107.117.1.0.102.			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documer application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes since a specific reference was included in the file 7 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language processes Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes eference was included in the first sentence of the	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). It of the certified copies not received it is priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) irst sentence of the specification application has been received the specification of the specification application has been received.	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. eeived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachmei	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🔲 Intonious Summans	(PTO 412) Paper No(e)			
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Serial No.: 09/935,100

Applicants: Weiner, D. B., et al.

Docket No.: UPN-4099

Filing Date: 08/22/01

Restriction Requirement

35 U.S.C. § 121

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- a. Group I, claim(s) 1-3, drawn to pharmaceutical compositions comprising Vpr and methods and for inducing undifferentiated cells to differentiate through the administration of said compositions, classified in class 424, subclass 188.1, and class 435, subclass 7.23.
- b. Group II, claim(s) 1-3, drawn to pharmaceutical compositions comprising a transgene encoding Vpr and methods and for inducing undifferentiated cells to differentiate through the administration of said compositions, classified in class 536, subclass 23.72, and class 435, subclass 7.23.
- c. Group III, claim(s) 4 and 5, drawn to pharmaceutical compositions comprising Vpr-induced redifferentiated tumor cells and methods of treatment employing said cells, classified in class 435, subclasses 7.23 and 366.
- d. Group IV, claim(s) 4 and 5, drawn to pharmaceutical compositions comprising redifferentiated tumor cells carrying a Vpr transgene and methods of treatment employing said cells, classified in class 435, subclasses 7.23 and 366, and class 536, subclass 23.72.
 - e. Group V, claim(s) 6 and 7, drawn to a screening method and kit to identify compounds capable of abrogating the effects of Vpr on cellular differentiation, classified in class 435, subclasses 5 and 7.23.
- f. Group VI, claim(s) 8-11, drawn to antiviral screening methods and kits capable of identifying compounds that inhibit Vpr binding to the Gag structural proteins, classified in class 435, subclass 5, and class 424, subclasses 188.1 and 208.1.
- g. Group VII, claim(s) 12 and 13, drawn to a method and kit for identifying compounds capable of abrogating p24 aggregation, classified in class 435, subclasses 5 and 7.1.
 - h. Group VIII, claim(s) 14, drawn to isolated Vpr-specific antibodies, classified in class 530, subclass 388.3.
 - i. Group IX, claim(s) 15 and 16, drawn to a method and kit for the detection of HIV employing Vpr-specific antibodies, classified in class 435, subclasses 5 and 7.1.

40

5

10

15

Serial No.: 09/935,100 Applicants: Weiner, D. B., et al.

- j. Group X, claim(s) 17, drawn to eukaryotically produced Vpr, classified in class 530, subclass 300, and class 435, subclass 69.1.
- k. Group XI, claim(s) 18 and 19, drawn to a method and kit for the detection of HIV employing Vpr, classified in class 435, subclasses 5 and 7.1.
- Group XII, claim(s) 20 and 21, drawn to methods for enhancing or inhibiting retroviral propagation through the administration of Vpr, classified in class 435, subclass 5, and class 424, subclass 188.1.
- m. Group XIII, claim(s) 20 and 21, drawn to methods for enhancing or inhibiting retroviral propagation through the administration of a Vpr transgene, classified in class 435, subclass 5, and class 424, subclass 188.1.
- n. Group XIV, claim(s) 22 and 23, drawn to methods of treating macrophage disorders through the administration of Vpr, classified in class 435, subclass 7.24, and class 424, subclass 188.1.
- o. Group XV, claim(s) 22 and 23, drawn to methods of treating macrophage disorders through the administration of Vpr transgene, classified in class 435, subclass 7.24, and class 536, subclass 23.72.
- p. Group XVI, claim(s) 24 and 25, drawn to **Vpr drug delivery** compositions and methods employing particles comprising **Vpr**, p24, and an envelope glycoprotein, classified in class 435, subclass 440.
- q. Group XVII, claim(s) 26, 27, and 31 drawn to a fusion protein comprising Vpr and a heterologous segment, as well as, particles and delivery methods employing said fusion protein, classified in class 424, subclass 192.1, and class 435, subclass 69.1.
- r. Group XVIII, claim(s) 28-30, drawn to nucleic acids, expression vectors, and transformed host cells that encode a fusion protein, classified in class 536, subclass 23.4, and class 435, subclass 69.7.
- s. Group XIX, claim(s) 32 and 33, drawn to pharmaceutical compositions and methods of treatment employing Vpr, classified in class 424, subclasses 188.1 and 208.1.
 - t. Group XX, claim(s) 32 and 33, drawn to pharmaceutical compositions and methods of treatment employing Vpr-specific antibodies, classified in class 424, subclass 147.1.
 - 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

45

5

10

15

20

25

30

Serial No.: 09/935,100 Applicants: Weiner, D. B., et al.

3. Inventions VIII, X, XVII, and XVIII are all unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (M.P.E.P. § 806.04 and § 808.01). In the instant case, each of the identified groups is directed toward a structurally and functionally different product (e.g., antibodies, viral proteins, fusion proteins, nucleic acids). Accordingly, each group is clearly directed toward independent and distinct subject matter.

10

15

20

25

30

- 4. Inventions I-VII, IX, XI-XVI, XIX, and XX are all unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (M.P.E.P. § 806.04 and § 808.01). In the instant case, each of the identified groups is directed toward a different methodology (e.g., treatment of hyperproliferative disorders, treatment of cell loss, antitumor screening assay, antiviral screening assay, protein aggregation detection methodologies, facilitation οf propagation, treatment of macrophage disorders, and treatment of HIV infection) that employs structurally and functionally unrelated reagents (e.g., Vpr protein, Vpr transgene, tumor cells, anticancer agents, antiviral agents, and antibodies) and methodology steps. Accordingly, each group is clearly directed toward different inventive concepts.
- 5. Inventions VIII and IX/XX are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the Vpr-specific antibodies can

Serial No.: 09/935,100 Applicants: Weiner, D. B., et al.

be employed in a number of materially different processes such as diagnostic assays and affinity purification protocols.

6. Inventions X and I/V/VI/XII/XIX are related as product and processes of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the Vpr protein can be employed in a number of disparate methodologies such as the generation of immunological reagents or cell differentiation assays.

5

10

25

- 7. Inventions XVII/XVIII and I-VII/IX/XI-XVI/XIX/XX are all unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (M.P.E.P. § 806.04 and § 808.01). In the instant case, the products of Groups XVII and XVIII are neither required nor utilized by any of the identified methodologies.
 - 8. Inventions VIII and I-VII/XI-XVI/XIX are all unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (M.P.E.P. § 806.04 and § 808.01). In the instant case, the product of Group VIII is neither required nor utilized by the identified methodologies.
 - 9. Inventions X and II-IV/VII/IX/XIII-XVI/XX are all unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes

Serial No.: 09/935,100 Applicants: Weiner, D. B., et al.

of operation, different functions, or different effects (M.P.E.P. § 806.04 and § 808.01). In the instant case, the product of Group X is neither required nor utilized by the methodologies of the identified groups.

5

10

15

20

- 10. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, recognized divergent subject matter, and require separate searches, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 C.F.R. § 1.143). Applicant is also advised that the claims should be amended to reflect the election, where necessary.
- 12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(I).

25

30

and process claims has been set forth *supra*. When applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found to be allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include **all** the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of § 821.04 of the M.P.E.P. Process claims that depend from or

Serial No.: 09/935,100 Applicants: Weiner, D. B., et al.

otherwise include **all** the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 C.F.R. § 1.116 while amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 C.F.R. § 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction 14. between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.104. be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability as set forth under 35 U.S.C. §s 101, 102, 103, and Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an 112. otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer, and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so will result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Furthermore, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. § 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See M.P.E.P. § 804.01.

5

10

15

20

Serial No.: 09/935,100 Applicants: Weiner, D. B., et al.

Correspondence

Correspondence related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). Official communications should be directed toward the following Group 1600 fax number: (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-2227. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM. A message may be left on the examiner's If attempts to reach the examiner are voice mail service. unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisors, Laurie Scheiner or James Housel, can be reached at (703) 308-1122 or (703) 308-4027, respectively. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Respectfully,

5

10

15

teffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner Art Unit 1648

03 November, 2003